91



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Aldress: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.ispio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/303,409		05/03/1999	SANDRA FREEDMAN FELDMAN	RD-26.502	8332	
6147	7590	01/21/2003				
		RIC COMPANY	EXAMINER			
GLOBAL R PATENT D	OCKET R	M. 4A59		FERRIS III	FERRIS III, FRED O	
PO BOX 8, BLDG. K-1 ROSS NISKAYUNA, NY 12309				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	-			2123		
				DATE MAILED: 01/21/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

94

,							
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/303,409	FELDMAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Fred Ferris	2123					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication a Peri d for Reply	appears on the cover shet wi	h the correspondenc address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. t. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirt iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' atute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed r (30) days will be considered timely. I HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>0</u>	05 March 1999 .						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.						
Since this application is in condition for allocation closed in accordance with the practice und Disp sition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the applicat	tion.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	drawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,9-13,15,17 and 18</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) $6.8.14$ and 16 is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 January 2000</u> is/a							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	, ,						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	Examiner.	•					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	nian priority under 25 LLC C. S	1110(a) (d) ar (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	sign priority under 33 0.3.C. §	119(a)-(u) 01 (1).					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received						
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		onlication No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of I	iummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2123

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-18 have been presented for examination. Claims 1-5, 7, 9-13, 15, 17, and 18 have been rejected. Claims 6, 8, 14, and 16 are objected to.

Drawings

2. Formal drawings submitted on 7 January 2000 have been approved by the examiner pending review by the draftsperson.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 9-12, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 4,920,385 issued to Clark et al, in vi w of U.S.

Application/Control Number: 09/303,409

Art Unit: 2123

E.

Patent 5,528,368 issued to Lewis et al, in further view of U.S. Patent 5,149,547 issued to Gill.

Independent claims 1, 9, and 17 are drawn to:

A system, method, to identify defects in plastic parts comprising:

A molding tool for producing plastic parts

Spatially-resolved spectrometer for obtaining data readings via reflected light Computer device for processing, analyzing, and quantifying data

Regarding independent claims 1, 9, and 17: Clark teaches an electro optical system for **identifying defects** in manufactured materials including **plastic parts**.

(Abstract, Summary of Invention, CL1-L13, CL2-L3-8, Figs. 3-10)

Clark does not explicitly teach the use of a spatially-resolved spectrometer to obtain data readings of reflected light.

Lewis teaches the use of a **spatially-resolved spectrometer** and spectroscopic imaging techniques for collecting **data readings** of **reflected light** from **material samples**. Lewis further discloses the use of computer for **analyzing** and **processing the data readings**. (Abstract, Summary of Invention, CL1-L20, 32, 54, CL2-L41-64, CL40-L52, CL5-L3, 7, 47, 52, CL6-L11, 20, CL8-L38-58, CL10-L23)

Clark further does not teach the use of a molding tool for producing plastic parts.

Gill teaches a molding tool for producing plastic parts comprising a cavity and gate (multiple) where plastic is extruded to produce plastic parts. (Abstract, Summary of Invention, CL2-L26, 43, 57-6, CL7-42, Fig. 2)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to modify the teachings of Clark relating to an electro

Application/Control Number: 09/303,409

Art Unit: 2123

8.

optical system for **identifying defects** in manufactured materials including **plastic parts,** with the teachings of Lewis relating to the use of a **spatially-resolved spectrometer** and spectroscopic imaging techniques for collecting **data readings** of **reflected light** from **material samples**, and to further modify the teaching of Clark with

the teachings of Gill relating to a **molding tool** for producing **plastic parts** comprising a **cavity** and **gate** (multiple) where plastic is **extruded** to produce plastic parts, to realize

the claimed invention. An obvious motivation exists, since as referenced in prior art, the

use of spatially-resolved spectrometer techniques provides improved and reliable

detection of defects in manufactured materials.

Regarding dependent claims 2-4 and 10-12, and 18: As previously cited, Gill teaches a molding tool for producing plastic parts comprising a cavity and gate (multiple) where plastic is extruded to produce plastic parts. (Abstract, Summary of Invention, CL2-L26, 43, 57-6, CL7-42, Fig. 2) Further, the extruded plastic parts conform to the cavity which obviously can have holes, boss, grill or ribs (any angle) and include flat, positive and negative cavity surface shapes (CL8-L33).

Claims 5, 7, 13, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 4,920,385 issued to Clark et al, in view of U.S. Patent 5,528,368 issued to Lewis et al, in further view of U.S. Patent 5,149,547 issued to Gill, and in view of Official Notice.



Application/Control Number: 09/303,409

Art Unit: 2123

. 4.

Regarding dependent claims 5, 7, 13, and 15: Official Notice is given that his group of claims merely addresses limitations relating to obvious and well known techniques that are commonly used in signal data processing relating to filtering by threshold value, data compression, identifying and limiting by minimum and maximum data points (limiting above/below threshold value), and signal identification (matching) by shape, width, slope, curve, etc. and, hence, would have been used by one skilled in the art to realize the claimed invention.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 6, 8 and 14, 16, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure, careful consideration should be given prior to applicant's response to this Office Action.
- U.S. Patent 6,002,480 issued to Izatt et al teaches the use of spectrometers in material examination.
- U.S. Patent 5,220,403 issued to Batchelder et al teaches the use of spectrometers in material examination.

Art Unit: 2123

, a' .

on/Control Namber, 09/303,40

Page 6

U.S. Patent 6,441,901 issued to McFarland et al teaches the use of spectrometers in material examination.

U.S Patent 5,053,173 issued to Stict teach a molding tool with cavity and gate for extruded plastic parts.

"Thermal desorption behavior of absorbed material on wafer surfaces" T. Jimbo, IEEE 0-7803-3752-2/97, 1997 teaches defect detection using spectrometry.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred Ferris whose telephone number is 703-305-9670 and whose normal working hours are 8:30am to 5:00pm Monday to Friday.

Any inquiry of a general nature relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

The Official Fax Numbers are:

After-final (703) 746-7238 Official (703) 746-7239 Non-Official/Draft (703) 746-7240

Fred Ferris. Patent Examiner
Simulation and Emulation, Art Unit 2123
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Crystal Park 2, Room 2A22
Crystal City, Virginia 22202
Phone: (703) 305 - 9670
EAX: (703) 305 - 7240

FAX: (703) 305 - 7240 Fred.Ferris@uspto.gov

January 10, 2003

PRIMARY PATENTER 2100
PRIMARY PATENTER 2100